SmartHome Controller/Light

DISCUSSION - VULNERABILITY MANAGEMENT

This document discusses a prototype that will demonstrate the operation of a smart home system, by focusing only on the operation and interaction between a simulated controller and simulated lighting and motion sensors. It will also discuss the security vulnerabilities associated with the system, and explore and propose mitigation steps to produce a secured IoT smart home infrastructure.

The solution uses a MQTT protocol in Python (paho client) which uses a broker to facilitate communication between subscribers and publishes. MQTT will be used for sharing and reacting to sensor information like motion and light levels.

Hintaw et al. (2021) states that:

MQTT uses a publisher/subscriber model to facilitate messaging between devices making messaging lightweight. Nevertheless, there are a number of security issues due to the design of the protocol itself. Some of the issues are denial of service, identity spoofing, information disclosure, the elevation of privileges, and data tampering (Hintaw et al, 2021).

Table 1.1 below, as from (Mlambo et al., 2023), shows a STRIDE table depicting attack vectors and vulnerabilities identified within the MQTT protocol used in the system and provides mitigation techniques to remedy the identified vulnerabilities.

Threat Type	Type of Attack or Vulnerability	Mitigation Techniques	
Spoofing Identity	Control or unauthorized access (Janes et al, 2020) Escalation of privileges (Rizvi et al, 2020)	Implement authorized access with multi factor authentication Enable audit trials	
Tampering with Data	Data exfiltration (Vaccari et al, 2021) Data Manipulation (Bhattacharjee et al, 2017) Control over database (Cooper, J and James, A. 2009)	Access control Input validation Encryption of Data	
Repudiation	Validate system owner/user (Cruz- Piris et al, 2018) Validate input (Redini et al, 2021)	Apply a form control list to system access Apply Validation of output data owner Apply Secure Socket layer (SSL) Certificate	
Information disclosure	System providing Following type of info: Operation system in use (Abomhara, M and Koien, G. 2015) IP address SQL injection (Tweneboah et al, 2017) Data breach Insecure data storage (Ahmad, J and Rajan A.V. 2016) insecure data transfer communication (Shin, S. and Seto, Y. 2020)	Limit the amount of information that the system can provide when scanned Limit displaying the output where not needed to Define system security requirements	
Denial of Service	UDP ,ICMP, SYN and HTTP Flood (Gupta et al, 2022) DDos Attack (Kolias et al, 2017) DNS Amplification (Arthi, R. and Krishnaveni, S. 2021) Application layer control	Implement appropriate authentication and authorisation mechanisms in the solution Implement proper Access Control	
Elevation of privileges	Exploiting software vulnerabilities (Cam-winget, N et all 2016) Bypassing authentication methods (Jiang et al, 2018) Social engineering (Ghasemi et al, 2018)	Implement least privilege Apply appropriate patch management practices while adhering to regular patch cycle. Apply Logging and monitoring controls. Utilise proper Network Segmentation Apply proper encryption	

One of the characteristics of the smart home system is that of a Distributed system and employs a microservices architecture, it is imperative to have a holistic view of the vulnerabilities that will have an impact on the system and it is important to look at the challenges associated with distributed systems and provide solutions to the challenges.

A distributed system contains multiple nodes that are physically separate but linked together using the network. All the nodes in this system communicate with each other and handle processes in tandem. Each of these nodes contains a small part of the distributed operating system software (Meador, 2020)

Table 1.2 below outlines the challenges that are associated with distributed systems and in turn, how MQTT helps in addressing these challenges.

LIMITATIONS	REMEDIATION			
	<u> </u>			
SECURITY	MQTT support TLS/SSL to encrypt between device and broker and control access for each device. In addition to this, not much security is built-in MQTT, positive side it allows to build security on top of it to cater for evolving and different IoT devices (Hübschmann, 2021).			
USABILITY- LOW MEMORY & PROCESSOR DEVICES	MQTT uses lightweight protocol and has been able to support the increasing number of small, cheap, and low-powered IoT devices on the market that have low memory and low processing power (Hübschmann, 2021)			
RELIABILITY + SCALABILITY	MQTT protocols are reliable because they employ QoS that guarantees delivery of messages and the publish-subscribe model helps scale well as there need not be direct communication between the publisher and the subscriber (Samarth, 2021).			
POWER CONSUMPTION	MQTT protocol is lightweight and boasts a limited code footprint. This makes it ideal for low-power devices and those with limited battery lives, including now-ubiquitous smartphones and ever-growing numbers of sensors and connected devices (Matthews, 2020)			
·				
BANDWIDTH + LATENCY	MQTT protocol consists of publishing and subscription operation, it is a very simple and ultra-lightweight designed specifically for devices with limited resources and low bandwidth and need a high-latency and work in unreliable networks (Yudidharmaa et al, 2022)			
TIMING	MQTT allows real-time data sharing between devices, this is perfect for applications where timing is crucial (Asim, 2022).			

Table 1.2: Distributed Systems Challenges and Remediations

Although there is no standard or framework relating to security smart homes, The OWASP IoT top 10 is scrutinized to further provide guidance on identifying and remediation vulnerabilities in the smart home system.

The system uses an IoT networking model, so it is fitting to use the OWASP IoT Top 10 standard for developers and web application security as it represents a broad consensus about the most critical security risks to web applications.

Table 1.3. below lists the top 10 IoT vulnerabilities and mitigation actions associated with them.

OWASP IoT Top 10 for Proactive Security			
1	Weak, Guessable, Or Hardcoded Passwords		
Mitigation	Have a unique set of credentials for each device		
2	Insecure Network Services		
Mitigation	Disallow connection with high risks networks like public Wi-Fi		
3	Insecure Ecosystem Interfaces		
Mitigation	Authenticate and authorize IoT endpoints		
4	Lack of Secure Update Mechanism		
Mitigation	Verify the source and integrity of updates with digital signature		
5	Use of Insecure or Outdated Components		
Mitigation	Replace legacy technologies		
6	Insufficient Privacy protection		
Mitigation	Store only necessary information and ensure end-to-end security		
7	Insecure Data Transfer and Storage		
Mitigation	Encryption of data when at rest, in transit or during processing		
8	Insecure Default Settings		
Mitigation	Secure decommissioning and monitoring of assets		
9	Lack of Device Management		
Mitigation	Compel users to change default passwords after device installation		
10	Lack of Physical Hardening		
Mitigation	Validate firmware with secure boot		

Table 1.3: OWASP IoT Top Ten for proactive security (Basatwar, 2021)

Table 1.4 - 1.7, as from (Mlambo, et al., 2023), shows the current features of the system that makes it to be vulnerable and the mitigations that can be applied also taking in consideration vulnerabilities associated to the MQTT protocol, Distributed system

challenges, and IoT OWASP top 10 (as referenced from (Touquer, et al., 2021), (Borgini, 2021), (Apriorit, 2022), (Anand, et al., 2020), (Abdullah, et al., 2019)).

Features of the	Risks Accompanied	Potential	Possible
Current System		Vulnerabilities	Mitigations
It relies solely on	Unauthorized	Lack of Multi-	Multi-Factor
digits on the	access.	Factor	Authentication
phone's keypad to	Spoofing	Authentication	Implement
access the	Man-in-the-middle	• Lack of	changing of
security system	Attacks	authorization	passwords
	Installation of	Unencrypted	Implement
	malicious software	communication	complex
	Fines and lawsuits	Not enough	passwords
	that could lead to	security enforcing	Limit number of
	damaged	features	log-in attempts
	reputations,	Lack of data	User Access
	bankruptcy and	privacy and	controls
	losses	certified	Authorizations
		compliances like	Session
		GDPR, ISO	management
		27001, ISO	Implement data
		27017, ISO	privacy
		27018, etc	
The system's	Wi-Fi dependency	System is down	Set-up other
functionality is	Network attack	and security is	system
dependent on the	Denial-of-Service	compromised	connectivity e.g.,

Wi-Fi connection	(DoS) and Denial-of	once Wi-Fi	Local Area
only,	-Sleep (DoSL)	connection is lost	Connection
	attacks	or weak	Firewalls like Next-
		Insecure network	generation firewall
		Unencrypted	Limit device or
		communication	network bandwidth
			Backup
			connectivity
			options like 4G or
			3G, to ensure that
			the system
			remains
			operational even if
			the Wi-Fi
			connection is lost.
			Intrusion Detection
			and Prevention
			Systems
			Implementation of
			secure socket
			layer (SSL)
			Certificates,
			Data Encryption

			Network
			segmentation
Lack of security	More prone to	Lack of security	Regular security
tests that make	breaches	tests and	and backup
room for the		scanning	testing, and
system's			scanning for
improvements			threats helps in
			reinforcing the
			system
Lack of data	Injection attacks	Unsecure data	Secure databases
storage security	Tampering	storage	Antivirus
			Data encryption
Lack of Security	More prone to	Lack of Security	Regular and
Updates	breaches	Updates and	automatic System
		patches	and hardware
			updates
Unsecured device	Unauthorised	Malicious software	Use of secure
management	factory-resetting of	updates	updating
	devices	Device breaches	mechanisms like
	Installation of	Weak firmware or	digital signatures
	malicious software	software, servers,	Practising secure
	and updates	backend	Programming

	Software and	application	practices
	firmware risks and		• System
	attacks		centralization
			Implementing
			secure device
			management
			protocols
			Limiting the
			number of device
			management
			access points
			Ensure tamper-
			resistant hardware
Human Error	Breaches	Human errors	Cybersecurity
	Social engineering		training on users

Table 1.4: SmartHome Vulnerabilities and Mitigations

In conclusion, a number of vulnerabilities have been identified on the Smart Home system from the design phase and illustrated in the ADTrees, further explorations of the vulnerabilities related to the system were also taken into consideration and also identified during testing of the system.

By utilising the scrum agile approach, this sprint will only prioritise in the mitigation of Authentication and Encryption Vulnerabilities as they are the basic pillars in securing the system. Further security controls will be planned and incorporated in future development of upcoming sprints of the system.

References

Abdullah, T., Ali, W., Malebary, S. & Ahmed, A. A. (2019) A Review of Cyber Security Challenges, Attacks and Solutions for Internet of Things Based Smart Home.

International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security (IJCSNS), 19(9), pp. 139-146.

Abomhara, M. and Køien, G.M. (2015) Cyber security and the internet of things: vulnerabilities, threats, intruders and attacks. *Journal of Cyber Security and Mobility*, pp.65-88.

Ahamed, J. and Rajan, A.V. (2016) Internet of Things (IoT): Application systems and security vulnerabilities. In *2016 5th International conference on electronic devices,* systems and applications (ICEDSA) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.

Ahmed J. Hintaw, Selvakumar Manickam, Mohammed Faiz Aboalmaaly & Shankar Karuppayah (2021) MQTT Vulnerabilities, Attack Vectors and Solutions in the Internet of Things (IoT), IETE Journal of Research

Anand, P. et al. (2020) IoT Vulnerability Assessment for Sustainable Computing:

Threats, Current Solutions, and Open Challenges. *IEEE Access*, Volume 8, pp. 168825-168853.

Apriorit. (2022) Internet of Things (IoT) Security: Challenges and Best Practices.

[Online] Available at: https://www.apriorit.com/white-papers/513-iot-security [Accessed 02 February 2023].

Arthi, R. and Krishnaveni, S. (2021) Design and Development of IOT Testbed with DDoS Attack for Cyber Security Research. In *2021 3rd International Conference on Signal Processing and Communication (ICPSC)* (pp. 586-590). IEEE.

Asim , Z. (2022) Applications of MQTT protocol with its benefits and comparison [Online] https://highvoltages.co/iot-internet-of-things/mqtt/applications-benefits-and-comparison-of-mqtt-protocol/

Bhattacharjee, S., Salimitari, M., Chatterjee, M., Kwiat, K. and Kamhoua, C.(2017)

Preserving data integrity in IoT networks under opportunistic data manipulation. *IEEE*15th Intl Conf on Dependable, Autonomic and Secure Computing, 15th Intl Conf on

Pervasive Intelligence and Computing, 3rd Intl Conf on Big Data Intelligence and

Computing and Cyber Science and Technology Congress

(DASC/PiCom/DataCom/CyberSciTech) (pp. 446-453). IEEE.

Borgini, J. (2021) *Tackle IoT application security threats and vulnerabilities*. [Online] Available at: https://www.techtarget.com/iotagenda/tip/Tackle-IoT-application-security-threats-and-vulnerabilities_[Accessed 2 February 2023].

Cam-Winget, N., Sadeghi, A.R. and Jin, Y. (2016) Can IoT be secured: Emerging challenges in connecting the unconnected. In *Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Design Automation Conference* (pp. 1-6).

Cooper, J. and James, A. (2009) Challenges for database management in the internet of things. *IETE Technical Review*, *26*(5), pp.320-329.

Cruz-Piris, L., Rivera, D., Marsa-Maestre, I., De La Hoz, E. and Velasco, J.R., (2018) Access control mechanism for IoT environments based on modelling communication procedures as resources. *Sensors*, *18*(3), p.917.

Dasgupta, S. and Hooshangi, S., 2017. 'Code quality: Examining the efficacy of automated tools.', *Emergent Research Forum Paper*.

Ghasemi, M., Saadaat, M. and Ghollasi, O. (2019) Threats of social engineering attacks against security of Internet of Things (IoT). In *Fundamental Research in Electrical Engineering: The Selected Papers of The First International Conference on Fundamental Research in Electrical Engineering* (pp. 957-968). Springer Singapore.

Gupta, B.B., Chaudhary, P., Chang, X. and Nedjah, N. (2022) Smart defense against distributed Denial of service attack in IoT networks using supervised learning classifiers. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 98, p.107726.

Hübschmann, I. (2021) The Pros and Cons of Using MQTT Protocol in IoT [Online] https://www.nabto.com/mqtt-protocol-iot/

Janes, B., Crawford, H. and OConnor, T.J. (2020) Never ending story: authentication and access control design flaws in shared IoT devices. In *2020 IEEE Security and Privacy Workshops (SPW)* (pp. 104-109). IEEE.

Jiang, Y., Xie, W. and Tang, Y. (2018) November. Detecting authentication-bypass flaws in a large scale of IoT embedded web servers. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Communication and Network Security* (pp. 56-63).

Matthews, K. (2020) MQTT: A Conceptual Deep-Dive [online] https://ably.com/topic/mqtt

Meador, D. (2020) Distributed Systems. [online]

https://www.tutorialspoint.com/Distributed-Systems

Mlambo, N., Farnan, A., Ahmad, H. & Mutegi, B., 2023. Development Team Project_Final. London: Unpublished.

Rizvi, S., Pipetti, R., McIntyre, N., Todd, J. and Williams, I. (2020) Threat model for securing internet of things (IoT) network at device-level. *Internet of Things*, *11*, p.100240.

Samarth. (2021) What is MQTT for IoT Devices? [Online] https://psiborg.in/advantages-of-using-mqtt-for-iot-devices/

Shin, S. and Seto, Y. (2020) Development of IoT security exercise contents for cyber security exercise system. In *2020 13th International Conference on Human System Interaction (HSI)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

Touquer, H. et al. (2021) Smart home security: challenges, issues and solutions at different IoT layers. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, Volume 77, pp. 14053-14089.

Tweneboah-Koduah, S., Skouby, K.E. and Tadayoni, R. (2017) Cyber security threats to IoT applications and service domains. *Wireless Personal Communications*, 95, pp.169-185.

Vaccari, I., Narteni, S., Aiello, M., Mongelli, M. and Cambiaso, E. (2021) Exploiting Internet of Things protocols for malicious data exfiltration activities. *IEEE Access*, 9, pp.104261-104280.

Yudidharma, A. (2022) A systematic literature review: Messaging protocols and electronic platforms used in the internet of things for the purpose of building smart homes. 7th International Conference on Computer Science and Computational Intelligence 2022